

TU DARMSTADT

S-DALINAC

Electron Scattering on the Hoyle State and Carbon Production in Stars*

Maksym Chernykh Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt

CGS-13 Cologne, 25 August 2008

M. Chernykh, H.P. Blok, H. Feldmeier, T. Neff, P. von Neumann-Cosel, A. Richter

* Supported by DFG under contract SFB 634



Content

Motivation

• Electron scattering on ¹²C

Analysis and results

Summary

Astrophysical Importance of the Hoyle State



• Reaction rate with accuracy $\pm 6\%$ needed

S.M. Austin, NPA 758 (2005) 375c

Uncertainties of the Astrophysical Relevant Quantities

$r_{3\alpha} \propto \Gamma_{rad} \exp (\frac{1}{2} r_{rad})$	$p\left(-\frac{Q_{3\alpha}}{kT}\right) \qquad \qquad \Gamma_{rad} = \Gamma_{\gamma}$	$+\Gamma_{\pi} = \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma} + \Gamma_{\pi}}{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_{\pi}} \cdot \Gamma_{\pi}$
Quantity	Value	Error (%)
Q_{3lpha}	$379.38\pm0.20~{\rm keV}$	$1.2 \ (T_9 = 0.2)$
Γ_{rad}/Γ	$(4.12 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$	2.7
Γ_π/Γ	$(6.74 \pm 0.62) \times 10^{-6}$	9.2
Γ_{π}	$(62.0 \pm 6.0) \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$	9.7 Crannell et al. (1967)
Γ_π	$(59.4 \pm 5.1) \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$	8.6 Strehl (1970)
Γ_{π}	$(52.0 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$	2.7 Crannell <i>et al.</i> (2005)

Total uncertainty
$$\Delta r_{3\alpha}/r_{3\alpha} = \pm 11.6\%$$
 presently

Transition Form Factor to the Hoyle State



- Extrapolation to zero momentum transfer
- Fourier-Bessel analysis
- H. Crannell, data compilation (2005)

Experiment at the S-DALINAC



● E₀ = 29.3 - 78.3 MeV

$$\Theta = 69^\circ - 141^\circ$$

•
$$q = 0.2 - 0.7 \text{ fm}^{-1}$$

•
$$\Delta E = 28 \text{ keV} (FWHM)$$

Lintott Spectrometer



Measured Spectra



Model-independent PWBA Analysis

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{PWBA} = 4\pi \left(\frac{e^2}{E_0}\right)^2 f_{rec} \ V_L(\theta) \ B(C0,q)$$

$$4\pi B(C0,q) = \left[\langle 0_2^+ | \int \hat{\rho}_N \ j_0(qr) \ d^3r | 0_1^+ \rangle\right]^2$$

$$\langle r^\lambda \rangle_{tr} = \langle 0_2^+ | \int \hat{\rho}_N \ r^\lambda \ d^3r | 0_1^+ \rangle$$

$$ME = \langle r^2 \rangle_{tr}, \qquad R_{tr}^2 = \frac{\langle r^4 \rangle_{tr}}{\langle r^2 \rangle_{tr}}$$

$$\sqrt{4\pi \ B(C0,q)} = \frac{q^2}{6} (ME) \left[1 - \frac{q^2}{20} R_{tr}^2 + \cdots\right]$$

$$\Gamma_\pi \propto (ME)^2$$

• Model-independent extraction of the partial pair width Γ_{π}

Model-independent PWBA Analysis



• $ME = 5.37(22) \text{ fm}^2$, $R_{tr} = 4.24(30) \text{ fm}$

Large uncertainty because of narrow momentum transfer region
 P. Strehl, Z. Phys. 234 (1970) 416

Model-independent PWBA Analysis



Fourier-Bessel Analysis

 Transition form factor is the Fourier-Bessel transform of the transition charge density

$$F(q) = 4\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho_{tr}(r) j_{0}(qr) r^{2} dr$$

$$\rho_{tr}(r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} a_{\mu} j_{0}(q_{\mu}r) & \text{for } r < R_{c} \\ 0 & \text{for } r \ge R_{c} \end{cases}$$

with

$$q_{\mu} = \frac{\mu\pi}{R_c}$$

 Data should be measured over a broad momentum transfer range

Fourier-Bessel Analysis



• $ME = 5.55(5) \text{ fm}^2$

Results

Year	Analysis	Pair width	Ref.		
1967	PWBA		Crannell <i>et al</i> .		
1970	PWBA		Strehl		
1970	Old average	·	Ajzenberg-Selove		
2005	Fourier-Bessel	⊢ ∎→	Crannell <i>et al</i> .		
2008	PWBA		Present work		
2008	Fourier-Bessel		Present work		
2008	New average	, 	Present work		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					

- $\Gamma_{\pi} = 62.2(10) \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{eV}$
- Total uncertainty $\Delta r_{3\alpha}/r_{3\alpha} = \pm 10\%$
- Only Γ_{π}/Γ needs still to be improved now

Summary

• Hoyle state is very important in astrophysics

- High-resolution electron scattering measurements have been performed
- Monopole matrix element has been determined by low-q extrapolation and Fourier-Bessel analysis
- Pair width Γ_{π} for decay of the Hoyle state with uncertainty 1.6% has been extracted

Detector System



- Si microstrip detector system: 4 modules, each 96 strips with pitch of 650 μm
- Count rate up to 100 kHz
- Energy resolution 1.5x10⁻⁴

Motivation: Structure of the Hoyle State

- Hoyle state is a prototype of α-cluster states in light nuclei
- Cannot be described by shell-model approaches
- α-cluster models predict Hoyle state as a dilute gas of weakly interacting α particles resembling the properties of a Bose-Einstein Condensate (BEC)



 Comparison of high-precision electron scattering data with predictions of FMD and α-cluster models

Hoyle state cannot be understood as a true Bose-Einstein Condensate !

 M. Chernykh, H. Feldmeier, T. Neff, P. von Neumann-Cosel, and A. Richter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 032501

