Properties of the first $1/2^+$ state in ^{9}Be from electron scattering and astrophysical implications *

O. Burda, P. von Neumann-Cosel, A. Richter

Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt

- Motivation
- Experiments
- Results
- Summary and outlook

SFB 634

* Supported by the DFG within SFB 634

Motivation

- ^{9}Be is a loosely-bound nuclear system consisting of 2α and a neutron
- ^{9}Be has the lowest neutron threshold (S_n= 1.665 MeV) of all stable nuclei

  → first excited state lies some tens of keV above S_n

  → all excited states are unstable with respect to neutron decay

Possible role of ^{9}Be in the production of ^{12}C



- In *n*-rich environment (core-collapse supernovae) this reaction path may provide an alternative route for building up the heavy elements and triggering the *r* process

$J^\pi = 1/2^+$ state at threshold

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT



- The photodisintegration cross section at low energies is given by the properties of $1/2^+$ resonance
- Strongly asymmetric line shape

Parameters of the first $J^{\pi} = 1/2^{+}$ state in ^{9}Be

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

	(γ, n) [1]	(e,e′) [2]	(e,e′) [3]	Reanalysis of [2] by Barker [4]
E_R, MeV	1.75(1)	1.684(7)	1.68(15)	1.7316
Γ_R, keV	283(42)	217(10)	200(20)	280
$B(E1)\uparrow$, e^2fm^2	0.0535(35)	0.027(2)	0.034(3)	0.0685

[1] H. Utsunomiya et al., Phys. Rev. C63 (2001) 018801
[2] G. Küchler et al., Z. Phys. A326 (1987) 447
[3] J. P. Glickman et al., Phys. Rev. C43 (1991) 1740
[4] F. C. Barker, Aust. J. Phys. 53 (2000) 247

- Resonance parameters from different experiments and analyses are not in mutual agreement

Deconvolution of the spectrum

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT



$^9Be(\gamma,n)$ extracted from $^9Be(e,e')$





E_R = 1.737(10) MeV

Γ_R = 275(14) keV

Comparison: ^{9}Be(γ,n) and ^{9}Be(e,e´)



H. Utsunomiya et al.,
PRC 63, 018801

E_R = 1.750(10) MeV
Γ_R = 283(42) keV

Present

E_R = 1.737(10) MeV
Γ_R = 275(14) keV

- Resonance parameters agree well

Reaction rate $N_A\langle\sigma v\rangle$ of $\alpha + \alpha \rightarrow {}^8Be(n,\gamma){}^9Be$



Reaction rate $N_A\langle\sigma v\rangle$ of $\alpha + \alpha \rightarrow {}^8Be(n,\gamma){}^9Be$

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT



- For T_9 = 0.04 – 3 the 1/2$^+$ resonance determines exclusively $\alpha + \alpha \rightarrow {}^8Be(n,\gamma){}^9Be$ chain

Reaction rate $N_A\langle\sigma v\rangle$ of $\alpha + \alpha \rightarrow {}^8Be(n,\gamma)^9Be$



NACRE99:
C. Angulo et al.,
NPA 656 (1999) 3

Form factor of the $J^{\pi} = 1/2^{+}$ state



- NCSM: correct *q* dependence but difference in magnitude compared to the data

B(E1,k) strength

Siegert´s theorem: $B(E1,q) = (k/q)^2\ B(C1,q)$

at photon point $(q = k = E_x/\hbar c)$ $B(E1,k) = B(C1,k)$

(e,e´) $B(C1,k) = 0.027(3)\ e^2 fm^2$

(γ,n) $B(E1,k) = 0.0535(35)\ e^2 fm^2$

- $B(C1) \neq B(E1)$ at photon point $k = q$ $\rightarrow$ violation of Siegert´s theorem ?

Summary and outlook

- Final values of line shape parameters of $J^{\pi} = 1/2^{+}$ resonance:
  E_R = 1.748(6) MeV and Γ_R = 274(8) keV
- B(*C*1) ≠ B(*E*1) at photon point *k* = *q*
  → violation of Siegert´s theorem ?
- Role of direct capture
- Structure of the first $1/2^{+}$ state in ^{9}Be
- NCSM and FMD calculations